MORRISON FOERSTER

DEC 2 8 2005

2001/004

MORRISON | FOERSTER

1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

TELEPHONE: 703.760.7700 FACSIMILE: 703.760.7777

WWW.MOFO.COM

NORRISON & FOURSTER LLP NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C. OBNVEB, NORTHERN VIRGINIA, ORANGE COUNTY, SACRAMENTO WALNOT CREEK, CENTURY CITY

TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING, SHANGHAL, HONG KONG, SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

Name:	FACSIMILE:	Telephone:
Thomas D. Lee (Art unit 2624)	571-273-8300	571-272-7436

FROM:

Darlene R. Hamilton

DATE:

December 28, 2005

with cover page:

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct: 7225/DRH2

Comments:

RE: Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief; Serial Number 09/818,498

Enclosed communication we recently received from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. believe this Advisory Action was mailed to us by mistake we do not have this serial number on file with Morrison & Foerster. Please Advise.

If you should have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the United States Internal Revenue Service, Morrison & Foerster LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this facsimile (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

This facsimile contains confidential information that may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee); you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

12/28×2605 17:52 FAX 703 760 7777

MORRISON FOERSTER

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

2002/004

DEC 2 8 2005

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/818,498	USUI ET AL.	4
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	8
	Thomas D. Lee	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	-
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31: or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing daily	of the fee. The appropria	ite extension fee
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two months	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ca:4se
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	-
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ie ssues for 🥮
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	**
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			• •
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>14,18 and 30</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		-	
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claims (a) is (as will be a fellowed).	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			# ₩
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14,15,18,19 and 28-31</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,16,17,20-27 and 32-40</u> .			Seg:
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			2.
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			٠,
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is r	neressary and
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	d. «
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	e l₌ecause: ¨¨
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	
13. Other:	·	Sel	
		THUMAS D.	
		e se e de la companya	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051213

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

12/28/2005 17:53 FAX 703 760 7777

MORRISON FOERSTER

2003/004

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.:09/818,498

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Conversion of an estimated multilevel value of a noteworthy pixel into a binary value "in accordance with the multilevel values each time the multilevel input image is converted into a binary image," as recited in base claims 1 and 38-40, raises new issues requiring further consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

12/28/2005 17:53 FAX 703 760 7777

MORRISON FOERSTER

2004/004



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF C-3MMERCE United States Patent and Trademark O:-lee Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/184,904 √	07/01/2002	Naohiro Toda	√116692001700	51-8
75	590 12/21/2005		EXAM	NER
Alex Chartove Morrison & Foo			SCHLACK,	SCOTT A
Suite 5500			ART UNIT	PAPER N'IMBER
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.		2624		
Washington, DC 20006-1888			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

DEC 2 8 2005

MORRISON & HOERSTER .LP

DOCKETED	-
REMINDER:	
FINAL DUE DATE:	

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)